Town of Webster Conservation Commission Minutes of the Meeting – March 13, 2023

A meeting of the Conservation Commission was held on March 13, 2023, in person at the Town of Webster Selectman Meeting Room.

Attending: Chairman, Joey Wigglesworth, Vice Chairwoman, Michelle Sherillo;

Members; Hayden Brown, Richard Parent, Karen Bartholomew (via remote

participation), Fred Bock

Absent: Members; Dr. Robin Jewell

Staff: Dawn Portman, Conservation Agent

Tracy Coporale, Recording Secretary

Meeting called to order: 5:37pm

Public Meetings - Request for Determination of Applicability (RDA)

92 Sutton Road – David Spencer & Shannon O'Brien (Applicants/Owner) – Four Season Room Addition. (Continued from 2/27/23)

Mr. Doug Porter, Woodstock Building Associates is representing. He has submitted all the information that was asked for from last meeting. Mr. Porter is listing the items that he addressed. Showing the plan. No additional comments from the Commission. Mr. Wigglesworth is advising Mr. Porter to contact Ms. Portman, Conservation Agent, for an inspection before any of the work begins.

Mr. Brown Motions for a Negative Determination for 92 Sutton Road. Ms. Sherillo, Second. Motion passed 5-0-1 by roll call vote: Mr. Parent - AYE, Mr. Bock - Abstained, Ms. Sherillo - AYE, Mr. Brown - AYE, Ms. Bartholomew - AYE, Mr. Wigglesworth - AYE.

13 Bates Point Road - Christopher Granato (Applicants/Owners) - New Deck with Stairs and All Season Room (Continued from 2/27/23)

Mr. and Mrs. Granato are present. Mr. Granato is explaining that they added the items to the plan that were requested during the last meeting. They took pictures and submitted all the information. Showing photos. They will be redoing the sonotubes. Ms. Bartholomew comments, she doesn't see all of what was requested from the last meeting. Mr. Granato explains, as you are reviewing the yard it is a different grade, as the yard slopes down and goes back up. Showing the drawing. Each grid is 5ft. The dots are where the silt fence is. The dotted line is the addition off the back of the house, which is 12ft. He measured and the town drawing didn't match the actual numbers. To the right looking at the lake, the distance from the house to the lake is 61.6. The shoreline bends in and the house is angled. On the other end its 57.10 total. The smaller footage between the end of the addition to the lake is 45.10, so it is 57.10 minus the 12. On the other side it is a little longer. Mr. Wigglesworth explains, the different elevation level is the flood plain level so that is considered a hands-off area. It needs to remain naturalized otherwise; they would have to go through Chapter 91 to do anything in this area. The measurements are aligning with GIS. They are out of the 480-flood zone, which is good. Mr. Granato doesn't have any plans for that area. Ms. Bartholomew comments, they had

51EK TUWN GLEKK APR **25 '2**3 AM**9:53**

requested the buffer zones, the gutter tie-ins for the roof, the elevations and the change in elevations, abutter's names and property line dimensions, she doesn't see this information. Ms. Sherillo explains, the elevations are listed in the center of the plan, which says 2ft 3in. Mr. Granato explains, yes from the lake to the first hill it's 2ft 3in at the 10ft mark. To the right it is a little higher at 2ft 5in and then at the 25ft mark it is 2ft 1-inch, which goes across. Then it goes to the back of the house, which is higher at 4ft 7in. The 25ft is right before the hump. So that is the 480 elevation so anything on the lake side of the 25ft mark, which implies that the uphill towards the house is 480 plus. According to GIS the 25ft mark is at 480. Mr. Granato is marking the changes by hand, on the plan and initializing it, Mr. Brown is instructing him. He is adding the buffer zones, the flood plain, the downspouts, the dry well, elevations is at 484 at the house. Ms. Sherillo is taking a picture of the changes and sending it to Ms. Bartholomew. Ms. Portman will stamp it and file it. Mr. Wigglesworth is explaining the downspouts on the right will tie into the cultec, the left side will be a new gutter that ties into the dry well. When they put in the silt fence and straw wattle Ms. Portman will visit the site for an inspection before work begins. Mr. Granato will also update the construction sequence adding the gutters and the drywell and submit it to Ms. Portman.

Ms. Sherillo Motions for a Negative Determination for 13 Bates Point Road. Mr. Brown Second. Motion passed 5-0-1 by roll call vote: Mr. Parent - AYE, Mr. Bock - Abstained, Ms. Sherillo - AYE, Mr. Brown - AYE, Ms. Bartholomew - AYE, Mr. Wigglesworth - AYE.

Public Hearings - Notice of Intent (NOI)

46 West Point Road – DEP#323-1244 Jason Tubo (Applicant) – Repair of Existing Retaining Wall (Continued from 2/6/23) Mr. Wigglesworth spoke with Dan Berthiaume, Berthiaume Contracting, who is out of town.

Mr. Brown Motions to continue 46 West Point Road to the March 30th meeting. Ms. Sherillo Second. Motion passed 5-0-1 by roll call vote: Mr. Parent - AYE, Mr. Bock - Abstained, Ms. Sherillo - AYE, Mr. Brown - AYE, Ms. Bartholomew - AYE, Mr. Wigglesworth - AYE.

Action Items

7

1 Longview Avenue (aka 0 Gore Road) – Thomas Gadbois - Request for Extension of Order of Conditions – DEP#323-1057 (Continued from 2/6/23)

Mr. and Mrs. Gadbois is present. Mrs. Gadbois explains that they are requesting an extension due to a family tragedy and taking care of family, which set them back. Mr. Gadbois explains that most of the site work is done. Trees are removed. Mr. Glenn Krevosky, EBT Environmental Consultants will be helping with the replication area. Mr. Krevosky comments, he looked at the replication area, he will give the final elevations to grow a shrub swamp making sure it's not too low or too high, he gave the details and he will make sure the replication functions as designed and watch it for 2-years and give the density already on the plan. Mr. Wigglesworth states, it is an old NOI, he understands things happen. Ms. Bartholomew asks, this expired February 6, 2020, is this a current extension or an expired covid extension? Mr. Wigglesworth explains, it's expired but they could extend the order of conditions for 1-year. Therefore, this is the third extension. The land is cleared and everything is ready to go.

1

Mr. Krevosky is doing the replication on the wetland area and he is asking for a 1-year extension. The replication area will be done in 2 months. The replication area is approximately 750sf. Mr. Wigglesworth is explaining over time wetlands can change, which is his concern. Mr. Krevosky explains it's a man-made ponding area from years ago. 85% of the surface area rises quickly. There's a cast pile on the right. On the backside it's another property and it rises up quick. It's a coarse material and drains well. It's a small drainage area about 1½ feet wide. That all leads to less of a chance of an expansion of the wetland due to the steeper slopes that rises up above it, which rises up to about a 2-1 or 3-1 slope. The only part that will not be touched is the shallow shelf on the left side. Showing the plan. The erosion control will have to be redone. Showing the plan. Mr. Wigglesworth is explaining the plan. Lower Gore to Longview, the house is on the hill, the septic is up the hill and is not near the wetland. It's a corner lot. Ms. Sherillo is concerned that the order of conditions aren't current. Mr. Wigglesworth's stipulation is the wetland lines be checked and the erosion controls be redone. They will put a spill kit on site. Ms. Sherillo asks, there was a site visit report that states the driveway was a different size, was this resolved? It is dated 10/19/20, trees were removed, and it looks like the driveway is a different size than it was on the plan. Mr. Gadbois comments, they haven't graded in the driveway. The measure on the road is 42ft. It's the clearing width. Mr. Krevosky explains, the amount of piping from the inflow of the creek by wetland flag 15a, and 21b, that area was permitted to fill loss wetland area 500ft, so the narrow manmade ditch leading towards the driveway, after the driveway was permitted. The driveway isn't going over that whole cleared area. The length of the pipe required on the plan will be the length of the pipe on the final product. There will not be additional piping than what is on the plan. Mr. Gadbois explains, when he put in the piping, he showed Ms. Overholt the flared ends, and asked if this was okay if he built it up with stone? It was going to be a few feet extra from what the plan says because of the flared ends and the length of the pipes. She advised him to give her a call when he got the foundation in. He said, he wouldn't have the foundation in right away. He offers the Commission to do a site walk if they want. When the work was done it was during a drought. It was dry, no water. Mr. Wigglesworth suggests to stake everything out, and put erosion controls back, he would like to see where the pool, the replication area, and where the house is going to be. Ms. Overholt has been the only one who has visited the site. Mr. Krevosky suggests that George Smith, the surveyor, can stake it out. Mr. Wigglesworth, comments it would be helpful if it is staked out for a visual. Mr. Bock suggests to prepare an As-built for the details. Ms. Bartholomew is asking for a WPA-Form 7 to be brought up to show on the screen, which says, that only unexpired order of conditions or extensions may be extended. Ms. Portman, explains that Mr. Gadbois submitted this on 1/25/2023 and it was on the February 6th meeting and continued, which brought it to this meeting. This WPA Form 7 is only done after the extension is granted and signed. Mr. Wigglesworth explains, that Mr. Gadbois notified the Commission of his intent for an extension on January 25th and the Commission has 30 days to act on it and it was on the February 6th agenda, then it was continued. So due to being continued, it's at no fault of Mr. Gadbois. It's up to the Board to grant a 1-year extension. Mr. Krevosky, comments, due to the February date, the covid extension is in effect for another year. Mr. Gadbois explains, he wasn't aware of the covid extension and he was trying to ask for an extension before it expired. Mr. Wigglesworth explains to Mr. Gadbois that he will have to schedule a site visit with Ms. Portman before he begins work along with installing erosion controls, and a spill kit and Mr. Krevosky will get him set up. Mr. Wigglesworth addresses Ms. Portman, to add an additional 462 days due to the covid extension onto the February 6, 2023, which is May 13, 2024 for the

deadline for his work. He addresses Mr. Krevosky, if there is a new replication plan, please let us know as far as plantings, it's an old plan. Ms. Bartholomew asks, is there a chart of the extension dates and expiration dates? Mr. Krevosky, comments that the number of plants is missing and they normally do a 7ft spacing, he will look at the project description and he will notify them. Ms. Portman will inspect the erosion controls and the stakes. Ms. Bartholomew is asking for clarification of the covid extension filings. Mr. Wigglesworth explains that their filing was before March 10, 2020, they are under the covid extension. They are not voting on an extension. Ms. Bartholomew asks why were the Gadbois and Mr. Krevosky asking for an extension? Mr. Wigglesworth comments that there has been a learning curve in the office with new staff. Ms. Portman further explains she didn't know about the covid extension.

84 Upper Gore Road – Carol Smith (Owner). Request for Extension of Order of Conditions DEP#323-1119 – Septic

Mr. Krevosky, EBT Environmental Consultants is representing the Owner. This was permitted awhile back. This is the first extension, the permit was valid, the covid extension of 462 days was added, and they filed this 30 days prior to the expiration. The site work is done. The well is in. The wetland crossing is in. The replication areas are growing. Exotic evasive plants were removed. The erosion controls are maintained. The access to the septic system was cleared, nothing more than that. It's a headwater wetland system it comes out of the ground on the lot. The lot is leveled and cleared and no more than what was allowed. The pipe is under the wetland crossing. Showing the plan. Mr. Krevosky explains, the plan shows the access way, the septic is all the way back. He is pointing to the screen as Ms. Portman follows with the arrow for the Board. He explains, here's the wetland crossing, the replication area #1, the replication area #2, an access way, the cleared area with erosion controls along it, the septic was cleared. The isolated vegetated wetland made by a blockage. Pointing to the cleared area. Blueberry Lane across the street. There's stockpile behind the proposed building and the grade comes up around the building with a full cellar and a permanent drain, the building rises up for a full garage. Mr. Wigglesworth asks, is Mrs. Smith asking for the extension to complete the building of the house? What is still needed to be done on this? Mr. Krevosky explains, the covid extension was added in already, the original date is 12/20/18, which expires on March 27, 2023, so they are looking? for an extension. Ms. Bartholomew asks for the WPA Form 7? Mr. Wigglesworth explains this form is done by the conservation agent, which happens when they have been given the approval. Mr. Krevosky, states that DEP has advised him to never ask for an extension if there is an automatic extension from covid. Ms. Sherillo asked, is the house built? Mr. Krevosky explains, everything is done except the house. The site work is done, the septic and house are not done. She brought it to a certain point in order to sell it, the buyer can do the septic and house. Ms. Sherillo states, they don't usually extend for 3-years. Mr. Bock suggests, in this case, since the house is not going to be built and is not transferrable to the next owner, they will have to do an NOI anyway. Mr. Krevosky explains, the order of conditions can transfer with the property if both the buyer and the lender understand this ahead of time. They can go for a partial certificate for the work that was done, and just finish the house and the septic, but they would prefer to clean it up, due to some banks and attorneys don't like to see the order of conditions. Mr. Brown asks, should they go for a partial certificate of compliance on the work that was done and then address the extension on the items that are not? Ms. Sherillo comments, she doesn't believe they can separate an order of conditions like that. It's either they extend or they don't extend.

7

The Commission is discussing the concerns of transferring the order of conditions to a new owner, there could be a hold back. Mr. Krevosky explains, some lenders will put a hold on the sale until these items are cleaned up and, if this happens, at that time, he would ask for a partial certificate for the replication and the crossing. Ms. Sherillo's opinion is to extend only for 1-year. Mr. Krevosky would ask the Board agree for 2-years if they don't want to give a 3-year extension. Mr. Brown is concerned that there is no clear path as to getting this site done, will it be sold or will the current owner finish the property before they sell it. Mr. Parent states that it is a stable site. However, there will be an open order of conditions and in 2-years time they will have to come back and what if they ask for another year? Mr. Brown agrees it should be 1-year. Mr. Parent thinks it's reasonable it is just a stability question. Mr. Bock Motions for a 2-year extension for 84 Upper Gore Road. Mr. Parent Second. Motion did not pass 3-3 by roll call vote. Mr. Parent - AYE, Mr. Bock – AYE, Ms. Sherillo – NAY, Mr. Brown – NAY, Ms. Bartholomew – NAY, Mr. Wigglesworth – AYE.

Mr. Wigglesworth Motions for a 1-year extension for 84 Upper Gore Road. Ms. Sherillo Second. Motion passed unanimously 6-0 by roll call vote. Mr. Parent - AYE, Mr. Bock - AYE, Ms. Sherillo - AYE, Mr. Brown - AYE, Ms. Bartholomew - AYE, Mr. Wigglesworth - AYE.

10 Reid Smith Cove – Susan Duquette (Owner) Request for Extension of Order of Conditions DEP#323-1153 – Addition and Site Improvements

Mr. Stephen Balcewicz, BC Engineering & Surveying, Inc is representing Mrs. Duquette. They obtained an Order of Conditions that were recorded. She put the addition on the house and it's ready for inspections for a certificate of compliance. This was originally approved on 2/4/20. This falls under the covid extension, so the new date extension is May 11, 2024. They will be applying for a certificate of compliance in the spring.

DEP#323-1165 Construction of a Single Family House (Continued from 2/27/23) Mr. Stephen Balcewicz, BC Engineering & Surveying is representing Mr. Hopkins. This is going before the Planning Board for a special permit.

Mr. Bock Motions to continue 6 Black Point Road to the May 11, 2023 meeting pending Planning Board's review. Ms. Sherillo Second. Motion passed unanimously 6-0 by roll call vote. Mr. Parent - AYE, Mr. Bock - AYE, Ms. Sherillo - AYE, Mr. Brown - AYE, Ms. Bartholomew - AYE, Mr. Wigglesworth - AYE.

6 Black Point Road - Michael Hopkins (Applicant) Request for Certificate of Compliance

8 Black Point Road – Michael Hopkins (Applicant) Request for Certificate of Compliance DEP#323-1164 Construction of a Single Family House (Continued from 2/27/23) Mr. Stephen Balcewicz, BC Engineering & Surveying, Inc. He had a discussion with Ms. Morgan and she confirmed it shouldn't need to go before the Planning Board. Mr. Balcewicz prepared the requested letter for the certificate of compliance. He is reading his letter. The tree was transplanted as a cedar, not arborvitae as originally stated. They replaced the dead tree on March 13, 2023 in perpetuity. There's a revised date of the drawing. Showing the plan. Mr. Balcewicz is putting the date on the letter to match the dated on the As-built plan. Giving the letter to Ms. Portman to be stamped.

Mr. Brown Motions to issue a Certificate of Compliance for 8 Black Point Road, based upon the feedback from Ms. Morgan representing the Planning Board and the letter associating the Asbuilt changes that are on the plan. Mr. Bock Second.

Motion passed unanimously 6-0 by roll call vote. Mr. Parent - AYE, Mr. Bock - AYE, Ms. Sherillo - AYE, Mr. Brown - AYE, Ms. Bartholomew - AYE, Mr. Wigglesworth - AYE.

Ms. Sherillo asks, how to they ensure this gets filed correctly in order to track it. Due to the original order of conditions was not under 8 Black Point Road. Mr. Bock points out there are incentives to get it correctly when it is registered. Does this have continuing conditions on it? It does. Mr. Balcewicz should tell the homeowner and Mr. Hopkins that they should take the certificate of compliance from Ms. Portman and record it with the correct address at the registry of deeds. Originally these were lots and now they are addresses. Mr. Balcewicz believes as long as the Lot #86 and 8 Black Point Road are on the certificate of compliance when it is registered.

5 Checkerberry Island - Wojciech Piwowarczk (Owner) Discussion of an As-built Plan with the Commission. Mr. Stephen Balcewicz, BC Engineering & Surveying, Inc. is representing Mr. Piwowarczk. We received an order of conditions. They have asked for a couple of extensions. He has finished the work on the site. Showing the plan. He didn't add the pool that was proposed on the original plan because it was not installed. He has done nothing with the existing dwelling. The garage is there. The sunroom and the cottage, nothing was done. He built the garage. He brought the underground utilities up to the manholes. Mr. Balcewicz is pointing to the plan. Starting at the road. It has been riprapped all the way up. The side slopes have sod. The gate is in place. The underground utilities have been brought all the way up to the buried existing manhole. The pond is installed. The riprap spillways from the downspouts directed towards the pond has a riprap spillway. The shrubs are planted. He never installed the precast concrete apron at the end of the boat ramp. They would like a certificate of compliance on this. Mr. Wigglesworth asks, how far into the water did he go with the riprap? It's not near the water. There were blueberry bushes installed. There's silt fence that goes across. Once this is closed out, they will demolish the house and garage and create a new, which will be on the plan. Mr. Wigglesworth asks, why are we taking something from this plan and project and adding it to another project? Mr. Balcewicz states, if the Board wants them to install this in the spring they will do it under this permit. Mr. Wigglesworth explains, this has to be closed out completely. He has had a conversation with Mr. Piwowarczk and Mr. Balcewicz before about closing this out before they can move on. This has been an on-going project with little changes here and there and the town departments have been more than fair and flexible. Mr. Balcewicz explains, he didn't file for a certificate of compliance; he is just here to discuss the project. Mr. Wigglesworth comments, Item #1 isn't done, let us talk about the back of the building. Mr. Balcewicz explains, the back of the building has been stabilized. There was some excessive cutting in an area in the past, the conservation commission put a cease and desist order on him. Mr. Wigglesworth explains, Mr. Piwowarczk put too much pea stone and asked to put sod. Did he keep the pea stone? Or did he add the sod? Mr. Balcewicz, explains, the bottom portion is still pea stone. He put pea stone or sod on the embankment. Mr. Wigglesworth states that he wasn't supposed to put pea stone on the embankment, it wasn't agreed upon. He asked him to remove some trash that was at the bottom of the embankment. And Mr. Piwowarczk asked to take down an old dead tree, Mr. Wigglesworth gave him permission to take down the tree. Mr. Balcewicz suggests a site visit be scheduled. Mr. Wigglesworth states, sure they can do a quick walkthrough. Nothing from this plan is going on a new project, it gets done or doesn't. He mentions that originally, Mr. Balcewicz wanted to amend this plan and pull-in the house onto this project. No. This needs to be done and closed out. Mr. Balcewicz states, that is why he is

before the Board. If it's only the concrete pad that is all that can be done. And if there's no sod on the embankment, which may be hard to see with the snow, they want to close out this portion and move onto the next. Mr. Wigglesworth, states the site looked good the last time he was on site. There was a couple minor things to fix. The riprap can't go down into the water. Mr. Balcewicz mentions that the beavers ate two of the cedars. So Mr. Piwowarczk planted two new ones and added chicken wire around them with a plastic coyote to scare them away. Ms. Sherillo asks what is not done? The concrete slab and the sod. She states that the next project will be approached differently. Mr. Wigglesworth thinks the Board should do a site walk on March 25th. Ms. Sherillo states that she read in the file that there was an issue with the dock and doesn't believe it is addressed in this project. We will have to put it on the next project. Mr. Wigglesworth is reviewing his order of conditions and states, Mr. Piwowarczk needs to get a dock permit before they close out this project. Under the amendment of 2018, they pulled in the trees, the dock, the parking area to the right of the house which was supposed to be sod and not pea stone. The pad is to be staked out. These items have to be done from the amended order of 2018. The issuance date of this order of conditions is 1/21/21, so the covid extension takes them to April 28, 2023, which is 462 days where this work needs to be completed by before an extension is entertained. Mr. Parent asks, about the order of conditions regarding the letter dated 12/11 for the extension, they were looking to install a boat ramp to stabilized the soils, what is being done to stabilized the soils in that area? Right now there is a silt fence and straw wattle. Mr. Wigglesworth explains, it wasn't a huge grade, but due to having machinery in there the sides were eroding a bit. He was going to use this as a permanent access way. Mr. Parent asks, so there's no erosion issues with the water flow? No, there's a lip on it with pea stone coming up to it. The silt fence and straw wattle will remain there. Mr. Bock would like to do a site visit. He recommends that a drivable lawn with pea stone, would act as the drainage area. Mr. Balcewicz will file for an extension as soon as possible. A site visit is to be done. They will extend the orders for a year and come back for the Certificate of Conditions.

Draft Meeting Minutes continue to March 30, 2023 for February 27th Minutes

New Business

71R Minebrook Road – Matthew Weatherbee (Owner) Enforcement Order - Clearing Mr. Weatherbee is present. Mr. Glenn Krevosky, EBT Environmental Consultants is representing. The issues are; clearing of forested area, clearing of wetland area, and a disturbed site. It's entirely a wetland area. Showing photos of the property. Ms. Sherillo asks, about how much area was cleared? A large area. Mr. Krevosky gives a history of the area: in 1966 gravel was taken out of this property when they were building route 395. He is showing a photo of the area, this area is the area they removed the gravel out right down to the water table and left the land unusable. Restrictions weren't as they are today. There were multiple houses built. This area was a dumping area. It's about 13 acres. They built a driveway through the pit area, wetlands on both sides and at the top of the hill is above the water table and they built a home. So the entire right side is an access road that is wet and goes all the way over to the left. Trees have been taken down. The lower area is wetlands. He had issues with water and tried to redirect the water and make the land usable. Mr. Krevosky explains, there are drainage ways, there are exotic evasive plants, a channel way was built, he doesn't see any directing water to

anywhere it didn't go before. It was excavated. There are upper tiers that are dry. There is a lower tier. There's some push material to the left where equipment is. And there is a larger area of shingles and tires dumped in there. There's a trail with trash to be cleaned up. Mr. Krevosky will take drone pictures of alterations once the snow is gone. If Mr. Weatherbee cleans this area out and waits until it's dry, they will take drone pictures and look at the areas and re-evaluate the situation. He will propose to take out all the tires and shingles when it's dry in the summertime. They put erosion controls in. They want to propose to stabilize the slope on the left, loam and seed it. Proposing a pipe for drainage so not to continue to drive through it. To the left of the house, there's some more push, putting some boulders back and old railroad crossing. Mr. Krevosky is asking for some time to put together a plan to clean up the land. Mr. Weatherbee has a large hauler truck he uses for his business. He was just trying to make his lot useful. Mr. Wigglesworth explains, he walked the property and as of right now Mr. Weatherbee is under enforcement. However, he is being allowed to clean up all the garbage. And to chip the trees that are away from the resource. Mr. Krevosky is explaining that there is a pile of trees and they will chip and use the chipping for stabilization and for an erosion barrier in addition to wattles. Mr. Wigglesworth mentions that there is a lower wetland and they became aware due to a neighbor complaining that water was flooding their property. There isn't an area connecting the property. Nothing shows that the wetland has grown. It disappears during the dry season. Mr. Weatherbee is upgrading the wetland. Springs pop out and channel through these areas. Mr. Krevosky will put together a plan to get Mr. Weatherbee compliant. It's best to wait until the dryer season. No more using the cart path. Showing photos of the cart path. Take that back and get it stabilized. Mr. Krevosky, is explaining when you alter wetlands and you get the discharge after the cart path. They will propose a pipe system if they continue using this path. It's been there for quite some time. Mr. Krevosky is asking for a 1 to 1 ½ months to prepare a plan, with erosion controls installed. Mr. Parent asks, what is the ecological impact in this area? Mr. Krevosky explains, it's a gravel pit with no top soil, there's no rare species or vernal pools. I'm proposing to let it grow back to natural plants down on the left. It does drain into Sucker Pond, which flows into the lake. It is a matter of getting it cleaned up and no more sediment going down river. Eventually it will turn into a shrub swamp over time and get it stable. It's a sensitive area. Mr. Krevosky will have an update by the April 24th meeting and follow up again with a plan on the May 11th meeting. Mr. Parent asks, is there still flooding concerns from the abutter? No.

Mr. Brown Motions to ratify the Enforcement Order with an update from Mr. Krevosky on 4/24 and a plan by 5/11. Ms. Sherillo Second. Motion passed unanimously 6-0 by roll call vote. Mr. Parent - AYE, Mr. Bock - AYE, Ms. Sherillo - AYE, Mr. Brown - AYE, Ms. Bartholomew - AYE, Mr. Wigglesworth - AYE.

Old Business

O Goddard Street, Lots 1 and 2 (also known as 25A and 25B Goddard Street); Violation – DEP #323-1197 Elijah Ketola (Applicant); Construction of two single-family houses. Mr. Morro has requested an extension to the March 30th meeting. The site was stable and nothing else has transpired. Ms. Portman took some pictures. Ms. Sherillo would like an updated punch list from Mr. Morro. Mr. Morro is going to do a site visit before the next meeting.

Next Meeting Date: April 3, 2023 - Board of Selectman Meeting Room

Adjournment: Ms. Sherillo Motions to adjourn at 7:58pm: Mr. Bock Second. All in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Tracy Coporale

Recording Secretary

Conservation Commission Approval:

-

gong Date

Ŧ

Page 9 of 9